

been called for, and delegate Harris is stating that, in fact, there is nothing to substitute for, since the amendment deleted that paragraph.

MR. SCHRAG: It's not a substitute at this point, Mr. President. It's an insertion into the section. At this point, it's a motion to amend the section by inserting a paragraph which would be a new second paragraph to the section.

MS. HARRIS: Point of information, Mr. Chair.

MR. BALDWIN: Point of information, delegate Harris.

MS. HARRIS: The point of information is that we just voted to delete lines 12 through 14, and there's nothing to add to and there's nothing to attach to, because it has been deleted. So, I think the amendment at this point is out of order.

MR. SCHRAG: I'm adding to the section. There is no paragraph there now, but I'm inserting a new paragraph to add to the section.

MR. BALDWIN: Delegate Jackson?

MR. JACKSON: Point of order, Mr. Chair. What Mr. Schrag is nothing illegal. All he is doing, then, is bringing forth a new idea. Therefore, it is indeed an amendment; that's all it is. It's a different idea. It has several words. It takes out a concept, which anyone on this floor can do; we've

been doing it for three months.

MR. BALDWIN: The Chair will hear one other comment and then the Chair will rule. Delegate Cassell?

PRESIDENT CASSELL: I think the point has been made that what the delegate is doing is simply adding a paragraph. The last motion deleted a paragraph. His motion is to add a paragraph. He adopts some of the language of the previous one, so I think it's quite in order.

MR. BALDWIN: The Chair promised that after one other person spoke, he would rule. In fact, the Chair does concur with delegates Jackson and Cassell. Those of you who are not in favor, all you have to do is vote down the Schrag amendment. We have accepted it.

Is there any further discussion on the Schrag amendment? Delegate Bruning?

MR. BRUNING: Yes. We have been told by several delegates here that the Bill of Rights of the Constitution goes far enough in protecting the press, and that's sufficient and leave it at that. I think we're wrong about that, to be honest with you.

We're now in a situation legally in which police can go into the rooms where the press are, go through their files looking for information, go through their trash cans, go